

ECSC SERVICING COMMUNITIES SUBCOMMITTEE
Priority Rankings

ISSUE	RANKING
Land Use Planning & Comprehensive Planning in the County. Current statutes, policies & restrictions actually encourage rural development without attention to infrastructure & services.	6 people ranked as 1 1 person ranked as 2, 4, 6 and 7
Urban density housing without appropriate services in the County adjacent to Cities.	5 people ranked as 2 2 people ranked as 1 and 5 1 person ranked as 6
Funding for planning & capital improvements in the area of impact & beyond. Several ideas were presented including grants, loans, capitalization fees, & transitional taxes. Funding mechanisms must be considered key to any real or imagined solution.	4 people ranked as 3 2 people ranked as 4 and 8 1 person ranked as 5 and 7
Converging Areas of Impact between adjoining cities with some areas left out (No Man's Land issues).	4 people ranked as 8 1 person ranked as 2, 4, 6, 7, 10 and 11
Annexation issues regarding providing services prior to annexation. Does this now, by law, mean automatic annexation? Industry (IACI) & developers have concerns over this issue.	2 people ranked as 6, 7, and 9 1 person ranked as 1, 2, 3 and 5
Concern over use of septic systems & land application of wastewater in Nitrate Priority Areas & newly urban areas.	2 people ranked as 6 and 7 1 person ranked as 2, 4, 5, 8, 9 and 10
Cities' abilities to control use of city standards to regulate sewer hookups & fees and to continue existing agreements with Sewer Districts, etc. outside of city limits within the areas of impact (parallel ordinance concept).	4 people ranked as 3 2 people ranked as 4 and 7 1 person ranked as 2 and 5
Groundwater protection.	3 people ranked as 5 2 people ranked as 4 1 person ranked as 1, 6, 9, 10 and 11
Idaho has no one fixed statutory structure to address the issues identified as problematic.	1 person ranked as 4, 5, 6, 8, 9 2 people ranked as 11 3 people ranked as 10
Better dissemination of septic system locations & clarify jurisdictions & approvals.	4 people ranked as 11 3 people ranked as 9 2 people ranked as 10 1 person ranked as 6
Development of template by which local officials might be better equipped to cooperate regionally.	2 people ranked as 8, 9, 10, and 11 1 person ranked as 3 and 7